

1 Proc. Fla. State Hort. Society 2011  
2 Business phone: 863.956.1151  
3 Email: [bcastle@ufl.edu](mailto:bcastle@ufl.edu)

Paper no. 10  
Section: KROME

5 **Pomegranate in Florida for Commercial Enterprises and Homeowners**

7 William S. Castle, James C. Baldwin and Megh Singh

8 *University of Florida, IFAS, Horticultural Sciences Department, Citrus Research and Education*  
9 *Center, 700 Experiment Station Road, Lake Alfred, FL 33850*

10

11 *Additional index words.* Cuttings, *Punica granatum*

12

13 ***Abstract.* The pomegranate is being explored as a species for commercial and homeowner**  
14 **uses in Florida. A collection of ca. 75 accessions has been assembled. From those selections**  
15 **ca. 3,500 plants have been propagated in a commercial nursery and are being distributed to**  
16 **grower-cooperators and nurseries for evaluation. Two foundation trials have been**  
17 **established in Central Florida, one at the University of Florida, IFAS, Citrus Research and**  
18 **Education Center (CREC), Lake Alfred and another at Water Conserv II, Winter Garden.**  
19 **The initial effort showed that all the pomegranate selections were easy to propagate and in**  
20 **the field, nursery plants in 1-gallon containers grown on a citrus-based irrigation and**

---

We are grateful for the assistance received from Randy Strode, AgriStarts, Apopka, and Tommy Thayer, Southern Citrus Nursery, Dundee. We also thank Debbie Gaw and Billy Hopkins and Just Fruits and Exotics and Tree House nurseries for sharing their plants. We are also grateful to the many enthusiastic cooperators who have joined with us to explore pomegranate potential and have kindly provided funding for the project.

21 **nutritional program reached heights of 4 to 5 ft in 1 year with a healthy appearance. The**  
22 **plants at Water Conserv fruited after 1 year with many selections producing 15 to 35 fruit.**  
23 ***Cercospora* leaf spot caused some leaf drop and other unknown pests and/or diseases**  
24 **affected fruit development. An herbicide study using containerized ‘Parfyanka’ plants**  
25 **grown in sand soil showed unacceptable phytotoxicity to label rate applications of**  
26 **Indaziflam, diuron and norflurazon, but the injury from pendimethalin and simazine was ≤**  
27 **10%.**

28         The pomegranate has a certain natural appeal because it is such an unusual fruit, one that  
29 is quite different from the common fruits such as bananas and apples consumed in the U.S. Part  
30 of its appeal may relate to its long history of cultivation. The pomegranate is an edible fruit of  
31 antiquity that ranks right along with the date, fig and olive. Also, there is considerable current  
32 consumer interest in pomegranate because of its enhanced reputation in recent years as a healthy  
33 fruit and juice.

34         The major producers of pomegranate are India, Iran, Turkey and Spain and in the U.S,  
35 California is the major grower. The reputation of pomegranate has benefitted considerably from  
36 the aggressive marketing effort of a California company and their product, POM Wonderful®  
37 which is derived from the ‘Wonderful’ cultivar. Their efforts have greatly raised the awareness  
38 of pomegranate.

39         The pomegranate is native to regions of the Middle East (Persia, e.g., Iran), and SE Asia  
40 (e.g., Turkmenistan and Afghanistan), areas with relatively cold winters and arid, but hot  
41 summers. The species is not generally considered to be suitable for climates such those of the  
42 southeastern U.S. where the winters can be cold, but the weather is humid during the warmer  
43 months of the year (Holland et al., 2009) Nevertheless, pomegranates have been a dooryard

44 plant in South Georgia and Florida for decades (Maclean et al., 2011). We have discovered  
45 plants in the Florida panhandle area near Marianna and Perry that are ca. 100 and 80 years old,  
46 respectively. However, the origin of many dooryard plants is unknown. Furthermore, as we  
47 have visited nurseries to add plants to our collection, it became clear that it would be helpful to  
48 establish a collection of known cultivars and begin a systematic evaluation of their potential in  
49 Florida. Also, citrus growers have an interest in alternate crops as options to help them deal with  
50 the debilitating effects of canker and Huanglongbing diseases. Determining the commercial  
51 potential of pomegranate in Florida has never been attempted. Therefore, we initiated a  
52 pomegranate project with these objectives:

- 53 1. Collect pomegranate selections and cultivars and establish mother blocks.
- 54 2. Propagate from the collection and provide plants to interested growers.
- 55 3. Establish cooperative projects and evaluate the selections.

56 We chose these objectives because, while it is already apparent that pomegranate plants will  
57 grow in at least central Florida and northward into southern Georgia, it is not known whether the  
58 plants will produce acceptable quantities of good quality fruit especially for commercial  
59 purposes. We see these options:

- 60 1. Fresh fruit grown conventionally or organically. Particularly intriguing would be  
61 to grow the fruit as a small farm enterprise and market it locally.
- 62 2. Fruit grown by either method for juice which might alter the cultural program  
63 towards less use of pesticides. Particularly appealing with this option is to grow fruit for juicing  
64 in a small retail outlet and possibly blending with other juices such as blueberry or peach.  
65 Equipment for countertop operations to produce single glasses of juice or small quantities for  
66 bottling is readily available via the internet.

67                   3. Pomegranates grown as an ornamental for the homeowner and the “Edible  
68 Landscape” (Worden and Brown, 2010).

69                   4. Produce fruit for extracting and marketing of the arils. A brief search of the  
70 internet will reveal the variety of commercial equipment available for juicing the fruit and  
71 extracting and packaging arils.

72                   Our purpose herein is to provide some general information about pomegranate botany,  
73 cultivars and production practices, but not to provide an in-depth, comprehensive review. Such  
74 details are available in the literature cited. The status of our Florida project is also described.

75                   *Botany.* The Punicaceae family has only two members one of which is *Punica granatum*,  
76 the pomegranate of commerce. The Punicaceae family belongs to the Order Myrtales which  
77 includes *Corymbia torelliana*, a plant being used as a windbreak around citrus in Florida,  
78 Eucalyptus, Melaleuca, Jaboticaba and guava. The pomegranate is a naturally bushy, multi-  
79 stemmed plant that tends to maintain its bushiness because of suckers routinely arising from the  
80 base. Plants grow to heights of ca. 10- 12 feet and commercially are often trained to a single  
81 trunk or sometimes three stems (Fig. 1). The plant is normally deciduous. New spring shoots  
82 tend to be thin and weepy with thorns. The leaves are shiny and dark green. The plant is  
83 essentially monoecious with two types of showy flowers produced on new growth each spring.  
84 Flowering may occur over several months with some flowers still being produced into late  
85 summer/early fall, but the major bloom period is the spring. A flower is either male or  
86 hermaphroditic. The latter flower type is bell-shaped and self-fertile (Maclean et al. 2011).  
87 Hermaphroditic flowers produce fruit. Male flowers are more trumpet-shaped and do not set  
88 fruit. Flower color for many cultivars is orange-red to brilliant red and there are some, especially  
89 ornamental types, with “double” flowers (i.e., with extra petals) or some that are pink, white or

90 some combination of those colors and red (Fig. 2). Pollination primarily by insects (bees) leads  
91 to fruit set and the development of the inferior ovary.

92         The mature pomegranate fruit is large, usually 3 inches in diameter and sometimes as  
93 large as 4 to 5 inches. Fruit generally mature in 5 to 8 months and often change from round to a  
94 slightly squared-out shape. The fruit of different cultivars are quite diverse in their color, taste  
95 and certain other traits. Peel color ranges from a light yellow to “black” or very dark red/purple.  
96 The fruit is distinctive because it retains the calyx (petals + sepals) at one end of the fruit giving  
97 the fruit the appearance at maturity of having a small crown attached to it. Internally, the fruit  
98 consists of a series of chambers (locules) separated by a membranous septum. Inside each  
99 chamber are the seeds which each have a fleshy outgrowth (aril) that contains the edible juice.  
100 The seeds range in hardness from very hard (not edible) to soft (easily consumed). The color of  
101 the arils also ranges from a light, virtually white, color to very dark red or purple. The flavor of  
102 the juice can be inedibly tart to bland to sweet or sweet/tart depending on acidity. Typical  
103 soluble solids values for fruit grown in California are 15 to 18%.

104         The terms “seed” and “aril” are often used interchangeably as if they defined the same  
105 thing which is not true. Technically speaking, the “seed” has two parts: the crunchy interior  
106 structure that is the part that contains the embryo and is sometimes eaten if it is not perceived to  
107 be too hard, and the juicy part or the aril. The aril provides the color of the juice.

108         *Culture.* Anyone interested in growing pomegranates is likely to discover that, while it is  
109 an ancient crop, it has not been widely studied in a systematic manner, an assessment that applies  
110 to cultural practices. A search of the literature reveals only a few articles on subjects such as the  
111 growing of pomegranates in different types of soils and tolerance to salinity (Okhovatian-  
112 Ardakani et al., 2010). Furthermore, it is obvious that the scarcity of original information and

113 research has led to repeating the same information across a large number of publications. The  
114 following is a summary of cultural information where there appears to be a reasonable and  
115 consistent foundation for the information.

116 *Culture: climate, soils, water quality, irrigation.* The pomegranate plant is adaptive to a  
117 wide range of environmental and soil conditions, but is usually described as requiring a long, hot,  
118 dry season to crop properly (Holland, et al., 2009). There are mixed reviews about its tolerance  
119 to salinity and calcareous soils indicating the need for further investigation. The plant is very  
120 cold hardy, but is not tolerant of wet conditions. It is responsive to irrigation as a recommended  
121 practice, perhaps with water not containing more than 2,000 ppm salt ( ). However, plants  
122 in Israel have been irrigated with 4,000 to 6,000 ppm saline water with effects on vegetative  
123 growth, but without significant injury to the plant (Holland et al., 2009).

124 *Culture: fertilization.* There are few reports on formal fertilization studies, but supplying  
125 the usual essential elements apparently improves commercial performance. In Israel, Spain,  
126 India and other regions, pomegranates are fertigated while in other places the plants are supplied  
127 with dry fertilizers. Some attempts have been made to establish leaf nutrient standards through  
128 research (Gimenez et al., undated) and some data have been developed privately, e.g., in  
129 California. Some evidence suggests that careful attention to certain nutrients can affect aril  
130 weight and fruit size without altering juice quality (Prasad and Mali, 2003).

131 *Culture: propagation, orchard design, tree training.* Pomegranates are readily  
132 propagated from stem cuttings of various size and age. They root easily with application of  
133 commercial hormone products and placement in a mist bed. They can also root when placed  
134 directly into orchard soil. Pomegranates can be propagated from seed. They have a relatively  
135 short juvenile period and can begin flowering in one year, but more typically after 2 or 3 years.

136 Good light interception is considered essential for cropping and fruit development. Thus,  
137 plants are usually widely spaced, ca. 10-12 x 20 ft. and trained to a form that minimizes the  
138 willow young branches that bend under the weight of fruit. The plants are often trained to one  
139 to three trunks with an open vase canopy. In some instances, a single trunk is formed and three  
140 main branches diverge 1 or 2 ft from the ground to form the open vase.

141 *Culture: pests and diseases.* Reviews of pomegranate culture have long lists of pests and  
142 diseases that include various insects, fungi and bacteria (Holland et al., 2009). Among the  
143 insects, aphids appear to be common to most regions where pomegranates are grown especially  
144 among young plants at the propagation stage. Other insect pests are some of those common to  
145 citrus in Florida like mealy bugs, thrips and various mites, but pomegranates are not listed as a  
146 significant host for Med fly (Thomas et al., 2010). Less information appears to be known about  
147 the Caribfly which has been found in much of peninsula Florida infesting guava and other soft  
148 fruits and occasionally citrus. In one study conducted only in the Miami area without any  
149 observation on seasonality of infestation, pomegranate was listed as a host of this pest (Swanson  
150 and Baranowski, 1972). Root knot nematode, *Meloidogyne incognita*, has been reported to be a  
151 serious pest (Luc et al., 2005).

152 The more serious problems are diseases caused by fungi and bacteria. Among these are  
153 leaf spotting, that can lead to leaf drop, caused by *Cercospora punicae* (Alfieri, 1978), fruit  
154 blemishes also caused by *Cercospora sp.* and fruit decay that renders fruit inedible. The  
155 bacterial genera *Botryphaeria* and *Alternaria* along with others are implicated as sources of fruit  
156 rot problems.

157 *Postharvest.* Two frequently asked questions are how to determine when a pomegranate  
158 fruit is mature and when is the season of maturity. A common answer to the first question is

159 when the fruit changes from perfectly round to a slight pentagonal shape presumably because of  
160 internal pressure exerted by the arils as they fill with juice. As to the season of maturity, most  
161 cultivars are harvested, regardless of where they are grown, between late summer and mid-Fall.  
162 The ‘Wonderful’ cultivar grown in California is typically harvested during the month of October.  
163 For details regarding physiological disorders such as fruit splitting and postharvest handling, see  
164 Holland et al. (2009) and Kader (2006).

### 165 **Status of UF/IFAS/CREC Project**

166 The project began in 2009 for the purpose of evaluating the potential of pomegranate as  
167 an alternative for citrus growers. However, interest has grown remarkably and the project was  
168 opened to homeowners and hobbyists in 2010. We have established a series of plantings with  
169 cooperators and are in the first stages of learning how to grow pomegranates and, most  
170 important, to see if fruit can be reliably produced at the dooryard level and to support a  
171 commercial enterprise. In the first two years we have accomplished the following.

172 *Resources.* We have assembled nearly 75 accessions from the U. S. National Clonal  
173 Germplasm Repository (NCGR), Davis, CA  
174 (<http://afrsweb.usda.gov/Main/docs.htm?docid=12170>), two collections in GA, and local  
175 nurseries and homeowners (Table 1). One surprise in the project was to discover important  
176 collections at the USDA Southeastern Fruit and Nut Research Lab in Byron and the University  
177 of GA at Tifton. Our collection consists of the ‘Wonderful’ cultivar, other common selections,  
178 many ornamental types with exceptional flowers, dwarf types, a selection from Vietnam that  
179 produces yellow fruit and might be promising for our climate, soft- and hard-seeded types and  
180 cold hardy ones. Duplicate mother blocks of these accessions have been planted at the CREC,  
181 Lake Alfred, and at Water Conserv II near Winter Garden and will be amended as new



182 accessions are acquired. They serve as the plants for observation and as a source of cuttings.  
183 Planting of the mother blocks will be largely completed in 2011. Some published descriptions of  
184 the cultivars as grown elsewhere are available (see the NCGR website; Ashton, 2006; Stover and  
185 Mercure, 2007).

186 *Propagation.* We have been working with a commercial propagator, AgriStarts, Inc. of  
187 Apopka, to root cuttings. We have supplied semi-hardwood and hardwood cuttings of all sizes  
188 from ca. ¼ -to 1/16 inches in diameter. The cuttings have been supplied without regard to the  
189 time of year. They are treated with a commercial rooting hormone, placed in a peat mix and  
190 rooted in ca. 16 weeks with percentages >75% (Table 2). The rooted cuttings are grown off in a  
191 greenhouse or shadehouse in 1-gallon containers where they are now trained to a single stem  
192 with no branching for a length of ca.12 to 18 inches. Our results confirm that pomegranates are  
193 easy to propagate, but it may require 3 to4 months to achieve maximum rooting percentages.

194 *Test locations.* Pomegranates can presently be found in dooryards from southern Georgia  
195 to south central Florida indicating that they can be grown in a range of climatic conditions and  
196 soils. Our young plants and older ones already in dooryards became dormant, shed their leaves  
197 and withstood the prolonged cold weather in the winter of 2009-2010. They survived Fahrenheit  
198 temperatures in the low 20s during the winter of 2010-2011. Thus, we rated ca. 6- to 24-month  
199 old plants growing in central to north-central Florida for shoot development in mid-February  
200 2011 as a measure of a possible physiological response to the winter temperatures. The plants of  
201 some cultivars had yet to begin bud swell while others were nearly completely re-foliated (Table  
202 3).

203 We have cooperative plantings in the coastal flatwoods of Florida as well as in the deep  
204 sandy soils of central Florida. Our observations show that chronic wet conditions or flooding

205 events are not favorable for growing pomegranates and can lead to plant death. More vigorous  
206 growth and earlier cropping have occurred with plants growing in the central Ridge region.

207 *Cultivar selection, orchard design, plant training.* What should I plant is a frequently  
208 asked question without an answer. We are encouraging cooperators to try any of the cultivars  
209 available until we have enough cultural data to answer the question. One of our first plantings  
210 was set out at Water Conserv II in May 2009. In 2010, those 38 plants (2-4 plants each of 10  
211 cultivars) flowered after about 12 months in the field and produced 0 to 50 fruit/plant depending  
212 on the cultivar. Those with the better crops were Afganski, Azadi, Parfyanka and Vkusyni.

213 The growth of our earliest planted pomegranates along with observation of older plants in  
214 dooryards and nurseries suggest that a spacing of 12 x 20 ft. should be adequate for commercial  
215 plantings. A wider spacing of 14, 16 or 18 x 18 ft., has been recommended in GA depending on  
216 geographic location, cultivar and anticipated canopy training program (Maclean et al., 2011).  
217 There are mature plants at the University of GA Ponder Farm, Tifton, and their size would seem  
218 to justify the wider spacing. However, spacing may depend on the type of training practiced.  
219 Plants trained as bushes may develop broader canopies and require more space. Plants trained to  
220 a single trunk with the vase-shaped canopy may need less space in the row. Neither training  
221 method has been evaluated in Florida although the single trunk provides a means to attach a wrap  
222 like those on citrus trees to shield against damage from pesticide applications. Preliminary  
223 evidence does not indicate that a wrap helps prevent suckers which need to be removed each  
224 year, generally when the plants are dormant. The suckers do not necessarily need to be discarded  
225 as they are a source of cuttings for propagation. Limited evidence also indicates that wraps may  
226 be harmful for the same reasons sometimes observed with citrus. Ants build nests inside the

227 wrap which can lead to damage and we have noticed other damage of unknown cause to the  
228 trunks of developing pomegranate plants.

229         *Nutrition and irrigation practices.* The literature does not suggest that pomegranate  
230 requires any special irrigation management other than to avoid overwatering and chronically wet  
231 conditions. Most authors report that relatively little is known about fertilization practices  
232 (Holland, et al. 2009). We have not yet developed any practical experience in Florida.  
233 Therefore, until further information is obtained, we suggest that pomegranate plants be treated as  
234 if they were orange trees regarding irrigation and nutrition. Plant tissue analyses obtained from a  
235 California lab support that recommendation. We have learned, however, that pomegranates are  
236 very responsive to fertilization and can change from yellow to green virtually overnight after  
237 receiving a modest application, by citrus standards, of fertilizer..

238         *Pest, disease and weed management.* Pomegranate is a minor crop in the U.S. even  
239 though in California, where most pomegranates are grown, there are an industry-estimated  
240 35,000 acres. As a result, there are few materials registered for use on pomegranates.

241         Pomegranate pests are numerous (Holland et al., 2009), but few have been encountered  
242 so far at the propagation and nursery stages or in our cooperator trials. The primary pest has  
243 been aphids. The more troublesome issue is diseases. We have encountered the leaf spotting  
244 caused by *Cercospora punicae* which is easily controlled with copper. Our observations suggest  
245 that there may be differences among selections in susceptibility to this fungus. We have also  
246 learned that *Cercospora* infections, if left uncontrolled, lead to premature leaf loss. However, the  
247 most serious problems manifest in the fruit and lead to drop or infections that render the fruit  
248 unappealing if not unusable (Gangawane and Khilare, 2008; Maclean et al., 2011). Some of the  
249 genera thought to be involved are *Xanthomonas*, *Cercospora* and *Botryosphaeria*. Species of

250 *Aspergillus* and *Colletotrichum* have also been isolated from infected fruit. Investigations into  
251 pest and disease identification and management in pomegranates are needed. Because of a  
252 humid climate and rainfall in the summer months when the fruit are developing, the results of  
253 those studies are likely to be the foundation for successful pomegranate culture in the  
254 southeastern U.S.

255 A number of products have been approved for use in California to control pests (Carroll  
256 et al., 2010). Web data (<http://www.pesticideinfo.org/DS.jsp?sk=6015>) show that sulfur, several  
257 herbicides and imidacloprid are among the most commonly used. A long list of products that are  
258 registered for use in Florida, but not necessarily on pomegranates, can be obtained from the  
259 Pesticide Registration Office, Fla. Dept. Agriculture and Consumer Services. Very few of them  
260 are fungicides. You must read the label to determine on what crops the various products may be  
261 used. Remember, **registration of a product in Florida does not mean it can be used on**  
262 **pomegranates in Florida unless that is explicitly stated on the label because “The label is**  
263 **the law.”**

264 If a good starting point for culturing pomegranates in Florida is to treat them as if they  
265 were an orange tree, then that approach may also apply to weed management. To see their  
266 response to commonly used citrus herbicides, we conducted a greenhouse evaluation of 8.5-  
267 month-old ‘Parfyanka’ cuttings in 1-gallon containers filled with typical Central Ridge sand soil.  
268 The plants were treated with five compounds at label rates with an untreated check (Table 4).  
269 Herbicide treatments were applied as a soil drench. There were four, single-plant replications  
270 arranged in a randomized complete block design. Visual injury was rated weekly using a 0-100  
271 rating scale with 0 being no injury and 100 being complete death of plants. The rating system is  
272 based on the comparison of the overall appearance of the plants treated with a given herbicide to

273 the untreated ones. The data were analyzed using ANOVA and treatment means were compared  
274 using Fisher's protected LSD at the 5% level of significance.

275         Phytotoxicity symptoms appeared within 21 days after treatment. Damage included leaf  
276 burning followed by drop and, in some instances, leaf death. Higher levels of phytotoxicity  
277 were observed in the plants treated with indaziflam, diuron and norflurazon (Fig. 3). The injury  
278 level from indaziflam was 14% at 21 days after treatment and progressed to plant death at 48  
279 days (Table 5). Diuron and norflurazon had a high initial injury at 21 days (66 and 63%,  
280 respectively) and increased further at 31 days. At 48 days, recovery from injury was observed in  
281 diuron- and pendimethalin-treated plants although injury was still  $\geq 50\%$ . Pendimethalin and  
282 simazine were less harmful than the other herbicides and after 48 days, no injury was observed  
283 and plants had recovered with new growth occurring.

284         Indaziflam is a new preemergence herbicide which is pending registration for a variety of  
285 crops including citrus. Diuron, norflurazon, pendimethalin and simazine are older herbicide  
286 chemistries and are commonly used in perennial fruit production in the U.S. Results from this  
287 study indicated that the pomegranate cultivar, 'Parfyanka,' responded differentially to the  
288 preemergence herbicides. Indaziflam, diuron and norflurazon were not safe for use due to their  
289 high phytotoxicity while the other herbicides such as pendimethalin and simazine were less  
290 harmful with the phytotoxicity being  $\leq 10\%$ .

291         *Fruit maturity.* Our one experience to date suggests that fruit mature as they do  
292 elsewhere, i.e., late summer into October. Fruit sizes were typical and all aspects of fruit  
293 development seemed normal, although no juice quality or flavor data have been obtained.  
294 However, 20 cultivars were evaluated in Tifton, Georgia for yield, exterior color, fruit size, total  
295 soluble sugars and titratable acids, taste, and juice color along with observations on various fruit

296 disorders. A very informative color poster showing the fruit, arils and results of the postharvest  
297 study is available from Dr. Dan Maclean ([dmaclean@uga.edu](mailto:dmaclean@uga.edu)).

### 298 **The Future**

299 A broad range of pomegranate selections have been assembled for testing, but there are  
300 several important unknown factors yet to be resolved in order for pomegranates to have a  
301 commercial future in Florida and an expanded future as a dooryard species. Especially important  
302 among those factors are those related to pest and disease management. Anyone interested in  
303 evaluating pomegranates should also be aware that there is considerable grower interest in  
304 Georgia that may merit connecting with the Georgia Pomegranate Association and University of  
305 Georgia researchers.

306

## Literature Cited

- 307
- 308 Alfieri, S.A., Jr. 1978. Cercospora leaf spot of pomegranate. Fla. Dept. Agric. Consumer Serv.
- 309 Pl. Pathology Circ. No. 194.
- 310 Ashton, R. 2006. Incredible pomegranate: plant and fruit. Third Millennium Publishing, Tempe,
- 311 AZ.
- 312 Carroll, D., B. Puget, B. Higbee, M. Quist, O. Magallenes, N. Smith, A. Gjerde, and K.
- 313 Schneider. 2010. Pomegranate pest management in the San Joaquin Valley.
- 314 <http://www.aaie.net/IPMinfo/PomegranatePM.pdf>.
- 315 Day, K.R., K. M. Klonsky and R. L. De Moura. 2010. 2010 samples costs to establish and
- 316 produce pomegranates. Univ. California Coop. Extension Publ. PG-VS 10 (see also
- 317 <http://coststudies.ucdavis.edu>).
- 318 Gangawane, L.V. and V.C. Khilare. 2008. Crop diseases identification and management. Daya
- 319 Publ. House, Delhi, India.
- 320 Gimenez, M., J. Martinez, M.A. Oltra, J.J. Martinez and M. Farrandez. Undated. Pomegranate
- 321 (*Punica granatum* L.) leaf analysis: correlation with harvest. (Publisher unknown. See
- 322 <http://ressources.ciheam.org/om/pdf/a42/00600270.pdf>)
- 323 Hodgson, R.W. 1917. The pomegranate. Univ. California Publ. Agric. Expt. Stn. Bull. 276.
- 324 Holland, D., K. Hatib, and I. Bar-Ya'akov. 2009. Pomegranate: Botany, horticulture, breeding.
- 325 Hort. Reviews. 35:127-191.
- 326 Kader, A.A. 2006. Postharvest biology and technology of pomegranates. pp. 211–220. In:
- 327 N.P. Seeram, R.N. Schulman, and D. Heber (eds.). Pomegranates: ancient roots to
- 328 modern medicine. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- 329 Levin, G.M. Pomegranate. Third Millennium Publishing, Tempe, AZ.

330 Luc, M., R.A. Sikora and J. Bridge (eds.). 2005. Plant parasitic nematodes in subtropical and  
331 tropical agriculture. CABI Publishing, UK

332 Maclean, D., K. Martino, H. Scherm and D. Horton. 2011. Pomegranate production. Univ. Ga.  
333 Coop. Extension Circular 997.

334 Okhovatian-Ardakani, A.R., M. Mehrabian, F. Dehghani and A. Akbarzadeh. 2010. Salt  
335 tolerance evaluation and relative comparison in cuttings of different pomegranate  
336 cultivars. Plant Soil Environ. 56:176-185.

337 Prasad, R.N., and P.C. Mali. 2003. Effect of different levels of nitrogen on quality characters  
338 of pomegranate fruit cv. Jalore seedless. Sci. Hort. 8:35–39.

339 Seeram, N.P., R.N. Schulman and D. Heber (eds.). 2006. Pomegranates. Ancient roots to modern  
340 medicine. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.

341 Stover, E. and E.W. Mercure. 2007. The pomegranate: a new look at the fruit of paradise.  
342 HortScience 45(2):1088-1092.

343 Swanson, R.W. and R. M. Baranowski. 1972. Host range and infestation by the Caribbean fruit  
344 fly, *Anastrepha suspense* (Diptera: Tephritidae), in south Florida. Proc. Fla. State Hort  
345 Soc. 85:271-274.

346 Thomas, M.C., J. B. Heppner, R. E. Woodruff, H. V. Weems, G. J. Steck and T. R. Fasulo. 2010.  
347 Mediterranean Fruit Fly, *Ceratitis capitata* (Wiedemann) (Insecta: Diptera: Tephritidae.  
348 Univ. Fla. Coop. Extension Publ. EENY-214.

349 Worden, E.C. and S.P. Brown. 2010. Edible landscaping. Univ. Fla. Coop. Extension Publ.  
350 ENH971.

351



Table 1. Pomegranate accessions at the CREC, Lake Alfred.

Name	Source <sup>z</sup>	Use <sup>y</sup>	Seed <sup>x</sup>
Afganski	NCGR	C D	Medium
Alk Pust Ghermez Saveh	USDA, Byron, GA	C D	
Al-sirin-nar	NCGR	C D	V. hard
Angel Red	Willis Orchard Co., Moultrie, GA	C D	
Apseronski	USDA, Byron, GA	C D	
Apseronski krasnyj	USDA, Byron, GA	C D	
Ariana	NCGR	Ornamental	Soft
Azadi	NCGR	C D	
Bala Miursal	USDA, Byron, GA	C D	
Big yellow	Ponn Nursery, Palm City, FL	C D	
Chandyr	NCGR	C D	
Christina	Just Fruit and Exotics, Crawfordville, FL	C D	
Cloud	UGA, Ponder Farm, Tifton	C D	
Comb's Sweet	UGA, Ponder Farm, Tifton	C D	
Crab	UGA, Ponder Farm, Tifton	C D	
Cranberry	Just Fruit and Exotics, Crawfordville, FL	C D	
Desertnyi	NCGR	C D	Soft
Don Somner North	UGA, Ponder Farm, Tifton	C D	
Don Somner South	UGA, Ponder Farm, Tifton	C D	
Dotch Legrelley	NCGR	Ornamental	
Double Red #2	NCGR	C D	
Double Red/White	NCGR	Ornamental	
Dwarf [C]	Chestnut Hill Tree Farm, Alachua, FL	C D	
Dwarf [H]	Hopkins Tropical Fruit Nursery, Immokalee, FL	C D	
Dwarf [J]	Just Fruit and Exotics, Crawfordville, FL	C D	
EG	Hopkins Tropical Fruit Nursery, Immokalee, FL	C D	
Entek habi saveh	USDA, Byron, GA	C D	
Eve(H)	Hopkins Tropical Fruit Nursery, Immokalee, FL	C D	
Eversweet	Hopkins Tropical Fruit Nursery, Immokalee, FL	C D	
Fleischman (Fleshman)	Chestnut Hill Tree Farm, Alachua, FL	C D	
Gainey Sweet	Just Fruit and Exotics, Crawfordville, FL	C D	
Garnet Sash	Hopkins Tropical Fruit Nursery, Immokalee, FL	C D	
Girkanets	NCGR	C D	

Gissarskii Rozovyi	NCGR	C D	V. soft
Grenada	Willis Orchard Co., Moultrie, GA	C D	
Hak-Botan	Just Fruit and Exotics, Crawfordville, FL	Ornamental	
Hydranar x Kirmizy Kabuh©	NCGR	Ornamental	
Kaim-anor	USDA, Byron, GA	C D	Hard
Kaj-acik-anor	Just Fruit and Exotics, Crawfordville, FL	C D	
Kara bala miursal	USDA, Byron, GA	C D	Medium
Kasmir Blend	Hopkins Tropical Fruit Nursery, Immokalee, FL	C D	Normal
Kazake	NCGR	C D	Large
Ki Zakuro	NCGR	Ornamental	
King	UGA, Ponder Farm	C D	
Kunduzski	NCGR	C D	
Larkin (Marianna)	Just Fruit and Exotics, Crawfordville, FL	C D	
Mack Glass	Mariana, FL.	C D	
Mae	UGA, Ponder Farm, Tifton	C D	
Mae II	UGA, Ponder Farm, Tifton	C D	
Medovyi Vahsha	NCGR	C D	Soft
Mejhos	Just Fruit and Exotics, Crawfordville, FL	C D	
Mejhos 6269	USDA Byron, GA	C D	
Molla Nepes	NCGR	C D	V. soft
Myagkosemyannyi Rosovyi	NCGR	Ornamental	
Nikitski ranni	USDA Byron, GA	C D	
Nikitski ranni	Just Fruit and Exotics, Crawfordville, FL	C D	
Nochi Shibori	NCGR	Ornamental	
Padgett	Perry, FL	C D	
Parfianka	NCGR	C D	Soft
Parfyanka	NCGR	C D	V. soft
Pink	UGA, Ponder Farm	C D	
Purple Heart	NCGR	Ornamental	Medium
Red Silk	Hopkins Tropical Fruit Nursery, Immokalee, FL	C D	
Rose	UGA, Ponder Farm, Tifton	C D	
Russian #8	Just Fruit and Exotics, Crawfordville, FL	C D	
Saartuzski (Yalta)	USDA, Byron, GA	C D	
Sakerdze	NCGR	C D	M. hard
Salavatski	NCGR	C D	M-hard
Shari's	Just Fruit and Exotics, Crawfordville, FL	C D	
Shirin Pust Ghermez Saveh	USDA, Byron, GA	C D	
Shirin Zigar	NCGR	C D	

Sin Pepe	NCGR	C D	V. soft
Sirenevyyi	NCGR	C D	V. soft
Surh-anor	NCGR	C D	
Sweet	Willis Orchard Co., Moultrie, GA	C D	
Sweet	UGA, Ponder Farm, Tifton	C D	
Tabestani malas Biranden saveh	USDA, Byron, GA	C D	
Thomson	UGA, Ponder Farm	C D	
Toryu-shibori	IRREC via NCGR	Ornamental	
Treehouse Vietnam	Treehouse Nursery, Pine Island, FL	C D	Hard
Vkusnyi	NCGR	C D	V. small
WEO 42	NCGR	Ornamental	
Wonderful	NCGR	C D	Medium
Zubejda (Denau)	Just Fruit and Exotics, Crawfordville, FL	?	

<sup>z</sup> NCGR=U.S. National Clonal Germplasm Repository, Davis, CA; USDA, Bryon=S.E. Fruit and Nut Research Lab; UGA=University of Georgia; IRREC=University of Florida Indian River Research and Education Center, Fort Pierce.

<sup>y</sup> Preferred or best uses have not been determined in Florida. It is likely that most selections have commercial (C) and dooryard (D) potential, but some selections are considered best-suited for ornamental uses because of their exceptional flowers and small, non-commercial-sized fruit.

<sup>x</sup> Seed hardness is important because it determines whether seeds are easily eaten. Medium hardness is the dividing line in that some consumers find medium-hard seeds to be edible; others do not. Soft seeds are easily consumed as part of the eating experience.

Table 2. Percent rooting of pomegranate cuttings at 16 weeks.<sup>z</sup>

Cultivar	%
Afganski	89
Al-Sirin-Nar	86
Angel Red	86
Azadi	84
Chandyr	67
Desertnyi	72
Double Red #2	95
Girkanets	81
Gissarskii Rozovyi	95
Grenada	93
Kazake	90
Knuduzski	63
Medovyi Vahsha	92
Parfyanka	96
Sakerdze	75
Salavatski	90
Shirin Zigar	87
Sin-Pepe	73
Sirenevyi	93
Surh-Anor	95
Sweet	97
Toryu-Shibori	97
Vkusnyi	100
Wonderful	80

<sup>z</sup> Cuttings ranged in size and age.

Table 3. Pomegranate shoot development rating, February 2011.<sup>z</sup>

Selection	Rating	No. plants
Surh-Anor	0.7	16
Knuduzski	0.7	10
Afganski	0.8	19
Shirin Zigar	0.9	7
Sweet	0.9	10
Salavatski	0.9	15
Desertnyi	0.9	19
Double Red #2	1.0	7
Sirenevyi	1.0	8
Sin-Pepe	1.1	18
Toryu-Shibori	1.1	10
Gissarskii Rozovyi	1.1	15
Grenada	1.1	14
Angel Red	1.2	14
Kazake	1.3	7
Sakerdze	1.4	21
Parfyanka	1.5	18
Azadi	1.6	19
Girkanets	1.6	13
Medovyi Vahsha	1.8	19
Chandyr	2.3	3
Vkusnyi	2.3	9
Al-Sirin-Nar	2.4	10
Wonderful	2.4	17

<sup>z</sup> Ratings are the means of five locations ranging from Fellsmere to Winter Garden; 0=no shoots emerging; 1=bud swell; 2=some shoots apparent; 3=many shoots.

354

355

356 Table 4. Herbicide treatments by chemical name, commercial name  
357 and rates used in the study.

Treatment	Chemical name	Commercial name	Rates
1	Untreated		
2	Indaziflam	Alion	5 oz/acre
3	Diuron	Karmex	2 lb/acre
4	Norflurazon	Solicam	2 lb/acre
5	Pendimethalin	Prowl H <sub>2</sub> O	2 lb/acre
6	Simazine	Princep	4 lb/acre

358

359

360 Table 5. Shoot injury on 'Parfyanka' pomegranate plants  
 361 treated with five preemergence herbicides.<sup>z</sup>  
 362

Herbicide	Days after treatment		
	21	31	48
Indaziflam	14 b	74 b	100 a
Diuron	66 a	88 a	53 b
Norflurazon	63 a	80 ab	50 b
Pendimethalin	6 b	8 c	0 c
Simazine	11 b	8 c	0 c

363 <sup>z</sup>Plants rated 0 (no injury) to 100 (plant death). Means  
 364 within a column followed by the same letters are  
 365 significantly different at  $\alpha = 5\%$ .  
 366



367

368 Fig. 1. A 17-year-old 'Wonderful' pomegranate plant growing in California and trained to a  
369 single trunk with three main branches similar to the open vase form used for peach trees.

370





371




372

373 Fig. 2. Ornamental pomegranate cultivars: 'Dotch Legrelley' (upper left and right) and  
374 'Ki Zakuro' (lower left and right). Photographs taken at the National Clonal Germplasm  
375 Repository, Davis CA, and provided by Jeff Moersfelder.

376

377



379

380 Fig. 3. Phytotoxicity of 'Parfyanka' pomegranate to preemergence herbicides.

381